

Table 2.1
Child Abuse Referrals by Allegation and Year
1999-2003

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Referrals	444,087	470,134	475,135	491,176	494,708
Sexual Abuse	53,683	51,631	48,430	45,643	43,184
Physical Abuse	104,838	104,583	100,467	98,326	94,353
Severe Neglect	13,099	12,475	12,430	11,197	9,661
General Neglect	148,371	162,764	169,530	186,357	187,146
Exploitation	1,001	815	659	565	543
Emotional Abuse	37,166	43,266	45,490	43,884	43,098
Caretaker Absence/ Incapacity	29,302	29,195	27,050	24,724	23,367
At Risk, Sibling Abused	56,617	54,482	39,172	40,683	52,671
Substantial Risk	8	10,923	31,907	39,796	40,685
Missing/Other	***	0	0	***	0

*** Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

A child is counted only once, in category of highest severity.

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.

Except April 2002-December 2003 data drawn from the 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

Table 2.2
Child Abuse Referrals by Race/Ethnicity and Year
1999-2003

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Referrals	444,087	470,134	475,135	491,176	494,708
White	151,153	153,389	150,959	149,225	142,238
Hispanic	163,324	178,691	184,793	195,626	198,890
Black	71,122	71,641	69,653	69,861	70,044
Asian	16,340	16,871	16,360	16,481	16,743
Native American	3,936	3,988	3,847	3,835	3,710
Missing	38,212	45,554	49,523	56,148	63,083

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.
Except April 2002-December 2003 data drawn from the 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

Table 2.3
Child Abuse Referrals by Age and Year
1999-2003

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Referrals	444,087	470,134	475,135	491,176	494,708
Under 1	28,741	28,954	30,412	31,752	33,039
1-2	46,467	48,855	47,897	48,885	50,562
3-5	81,083	82,867	81,451	83,297	82,492
6-10	140,390	148,904	149,408	150,659	147,301
11-15	111,428	122,525	127,231	135,258	138,632
16-17	34,104	36,249	37,078	39,749	41,273
18 or Over	1,441	1,569	1,496	1,459	1,337
Missing	433	211	162	117	72

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.
Except April 2002-December 2003 data drawn from the 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

Table 2.4
Child Abuse Referrals by Sex and Year
1999-2003

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Referrals	444,087	470,134	475,135	491,176	494,708
Female	226,414	239,558	241,687	250,712	251,517
Male	215,433	228,210	230,791	238,028	240,581
Missing	2,240	2,366	2,657	2,436	2,610

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.
Except April 2002-December 2003 data drawn from the 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

Table 2.5
Foster Care Maltreatment Referrals, Substantiations, and Percent Substantiated
by Race/Ethnicity and Age 2003

	Children With Referrals	Children With Substantiations	% of Referrals
Referrals	493,091	111,451	22.6
Race/Ethnicity			
White	142,721	33,992	23.8
Hispanic	200,181	49,955	25.0
Black	70,114	16,058	22.9
Asian	16,755	4,199	25.1
Native American	3,785	1,120	29.6
Other	59,535	6,127	10.3
Age			
Under 1	32,938	12,104	36.7
1-2	50,523	12,943	25.6
3-5	82,420	18,823	22.8
6-10	147,316	31,857	21.6
11-15	138,578	28,575	20.6
16-17	41,316	7,149	17.3

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.6
Foster Care Maltreatment Referrals, Substantiations, and Percent Substantiated by County
2002-2003

	<u>Referrals</u>	<u>2002</u> <u>Substantiations</u>		<u>Referrals</u>	<u>2003</u> <u>Substantiations</u>	
	Total	Total	% of Referrals	Total	Total	% of Referrals
California	489,600	115,745	24	493,299	110,570	22
Alameda	13,535	2,174	16.1	13,766	2,048	14.9
Alpine	1	0	0.0	16	12	75.0
Amador	512	140	27.3	499	114	22.8
Butte	5,125	1,006	19.6	4,970	1,048	21.1
Calaveras	862	190	22.0	909	213	23.4
Colusa	325	71	21.8	348	76	21.8
Contra Costa	10,426	2,242	21.5	9,854	1,979	20.1
Del Norte	725	264	36.4	832	246	29.6
El Dorado	1,872	322	17.2	1,771	411	23.2
Fresno	16,468	3,419	20.8	20,469	3,718	18.2
Glenn	714	180	25.2	734	225	30.7
Humboldt	2,337	360	15.4	2,397	386	16.1
Imperial	2,846	743	26.1	2,408	595	24.7
Inyo	433	55	12.7	430	47	10.9
Kern	18,377	5,268	28.7	17,681	4,930	27.9
Kings	3,176	861	27.1	3,214	626	19.5
Lake	1,574	302	19.2	1,303	248	19.0
Lassen	562	193	34.3	645	136	21.1
Los Angeles	127,053	31,077	24.5	127,445	28,352	22.2
Madera	2,704	580	21.4	2,576	537	20.8
Marin	1,882	274	14.6	1,772	269	15.2
Mariposa	367	109	29.7	415	149	35.9
Mendocino	2,691	812	30.2	2,536	722	28.5
Merced	5,201	1,586	30.5	4,757	1,625	34.2
Modoc	132	51	38.6	197	30	15.2
Mono	136	32	23.5	110	24	21.8
Monterey	4,557	580	12.7	4,639	681	14.7
Napa	1,287	195	15.2	1,346	97	7.2
Nevada	1,189	132	11.1	1,191	139	11.7
Orange	19,496	9,735	49.9	22,093	9,715	44.0
Placer	4,714	1,410	29.9	4,375	994	22.7
Plumas	345	116	33.6	313	103	32.9
Riverside	36,889	7,862	21.3	34,480	7,171	20.8
Sacramento	27,206	6,798	25.0	28,512	6,564	23.0
San Benito	799	155	19.4	804	171	21.3
San Bernardino	36,468	5,930	16.3	37,801	6,066	16.0
San Diego	51,884	11,087	21.4	50,297	10,438	20.8
San Francisco	5,396	1,385	25.7	5,983	1,445	24.2
San Joaquin	10,183	2,559	25.1	10,543	2,575	24.4
San Luis Obispo	4,748	1,273	26.8	4,446	1,391	31.3
San Mateo	4,184	692	16.5	4,393	863	19.6
Santa Barbara	4,739	744	15.7	4,251	696	16.4
Santa Clara	14,748	2,839	19.3	14,263	2,678	18.8
Santa Cruz	2,995	816	27.2	3,028	792	26.2
Shasta	3,149	634	20.1	3,090	744	24.1
Sierra	14	11	78.6	8	3	37.5
Siskiyou	1,031	239	23.2	1,049	281	26.8
Solano	4,708	729	15.5	4,597	556	12.1
Sonoma	3,365	911	27.1	2,983	870	29.2
Stanislaus	11,091	2,279	20.5	10,885	2,410	22.1
Sutter	1,137	273	24.0	1,264	274	21.7
Tehama	2,148	293	13.6	2,007	286	14.3
Trinity	406	120	29.6	343	111	32.4
Tulare	8,785	1,861	21.2	9,084	1,689	18.6
Tuolumne	1,028	287	27.9	1,042	351	33.7
Ventura	9,006	1,274	14.1	10,155	1,300	12.8
Yolo	2,486	582	23.4	2,456	646	26.3
Yuba	1,694	339	20.0	1,638	360	22.0
Missing	15	0	0.0	25	2	8.0

Because of missing age values, referral and substantiation subtotals will not sum to total.

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.7
Juvenile Dependency Filings and Dispositions
1994-2003

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Filings										
Total	42,957	42,768	45,147	47,568	43,488	42,076	38,612	39,007	39,296	38,069
Original	37,387	35,124	37,641	39,150	35,492	33,670	31,481	33,108	33,168	32,775
Subsequent	5,570	7,644	7,506	8,418	7,996	8,406	7,131	5,899	6,128	5,294
Dispositions										
Total	35,059	41,383	35,108	38,018	40,952	37,855	36,833	36,794	38,166	36,539
Original	30,856	35,553	30,544	32,295	33,858	31,021	30,244	32,326	33,762	33,423
Subsequent	4,203	5,830	4,564	5,723	7,094	6,834	6,589	4,468	4,404	3,116
Dispositions per 100 Filings										
Total	82	97	78	80	94	90	95	94	97	96
Original	83	101	81	82	95	92	96	98	102	102
Subsequent	75	76	61	68	89	81	92	76	72	59

Source: Judicial Council of California

Table 2.8
Juvenile Dependency Filings, Dispositions, and Stage at Disposition by County Court
2003

	Filings			Dispositions			Stage of Case at Disposition	
	Total	Original	Subse- quent	Total	Original	Subse- quent	Before Hearing**	After Hearing**
California	38,069	32,775	5,294	36,539	33,423	3,116	8,385	28,129
Alameda	1,062	1,046	16	1,913	1,363	550	305	1,608
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	31	29	2	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	462	412	50	390	347	43	10	380
Calaveras	90	82	8	198	188	10	0	198
Colusa	8	7	1	7	4	3	0	7
Contra Costa	1,266	1,059	207	458	455	3	3	455
Del Norte	92	82	10	44	41	3	3	41
El Dorado	81	76	5	336	325	11	8	328
Fresno	897	737	160	*	*	*	*	*
Glenn	81	76	5	89	77	12	6	83
Humboldt	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Imperial	118	112	6	79	79	0	37	42
Inyo	9	9	0	21	19	2	2	19
Kern	1,294	1,244	50	2,643	2,587	56	854	1,789
Kings	164	164	0	113	113	0	12	101
Lake	88	86	2	53	52	1	9	44
Lassen	96	94	2	85	83	2	7	78
Los Angeles	10,461	7,501	2,960	8,452	7,195	1,257	5,725	2,727
Madera	162	157	5	143	135	8	20	123
Marin	74	74	0	35	34	1	34	1
Mariposa	100	67	33	33	27	6	0	33
Mendocino	230	224	6	211	209	2	155	56
Merced	300	296	4	280	223	57	56	224
Modoc	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mono	5	5	0	2	2	0	0	2
Monterey	200	200	0	103	103	0	11	92
Napa	102	45	57	61	43	18	13	48
Nevada	50	30	20	56	33	23	15	41
Orange	2,117	2,061	56	2,472	2,276	196	221	2,251
Placer	457	363	94	336	318	18	56	280
Plumas	54	54	0	33	33	0	9	24
Riverside	4,114	4,041	73	3,437	3,418	19	348	3,089
Sacramento	1,302	1,278	24	1,102	945	157	33	1,069
San Benito	81	73	8	55	52	3	3	52
San Bernardino	3,201	2,975	226	4,803	4,500	303	17	4,786
San Diego	2,179	2,168	11	2,465	2,459	6	107	2,358
San Francisco	995	691	304	1,169	1,148	21	107	1,062
San Joaquin	770	770	0	661	661	0	1	660
San Luis Obispo	265	245	20	251	225	26	9	242
San Mateo	853	319	534	287	241	46	30	257
Santa Barbara	153	148	5	94	94	0	0	94
Santa Clara	911	864	47	675	667	8	24***	650
Santa Cruz	201	160	41	180	180	0	14	166
Shasta	273	261	12	165	150	15	0	165
Sierra	2	2	0	4	4	0	0	4
Siskiyou	45	42	3	19	17	2	19	0
Solano	272	236	36	219	191	28	8	211
Sonoma	221	202	19	235	220	15	10	225
Stanislaus	301	301	0	253	253	0	14	239
Sutter	99	87	12	121	87	34	1	120
Tehama	126	122	4	110	108	2	15	95
Trinity	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tulare	555	513	42	528	477	51	69	459
Tuolumne	177	95	82	191	101	90	8	183
Ventura	398	393	5	399	394	5	1	398
Yolo	268	245	23	300	300	0	0	300
Yuba	156	152	4	170	167	3	0	170

*Court did not report for that year. **Jurisdictional hearing.

***One case was dismissed prior to the jurisdictional hearing in Santa Clara and was not counted in the total number of dispositions.

Source: Judicial Council of California

Table 2.9
Original Dependency Filings by County Court
1994-2003

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
California	37,387	35,124	37,641	39,150	35,492	33,670	31,481	33,108	33,168	32,775
Alameda	1,386	1,446	1,156	1,128	1,157	1,198	1,143	1,242	999	1,046
Alpine	12	13	18	4	1	6	2	0	0	0
Amador	47	30	18	26	17	29	17	23	18	29
Butte	449	498	504	560	445	347	331	401	384	412
Calaveras	34	53	37	50	55	61	57	84	72	82
Colusa	8	14	19	5	19	11	18	25	18	7
Contra Costa	836	801	734	896	1,019	1,032	1,013	1,145	1,019	1,059
Del Norte	76	65	48	43	35	76	54	69	58	82
El Dorado	119	127	125	167	113	82	19	47	33	76
Fresno	1,350	664	643	774	641	720	706	776	765	737
Glenn	44	77	46	84	48	48	19	44	19	76
Humboldt	133	83	61	70	59	75	66	64	25	*
Imperial	71	67	101	106	173	163	194	184	153	112
Inyo	14	12	16	20	23	10	36	34	40	9
Kern	649	626	728	1,298	1,100	1,021	1,160	1,224	1,401	1,244
Kings	76	94	48	101	83	104	195	230	306	164
Lake	107	61	51	49	59	97	79	117	62	86
Lassen	79	91	83	52	40	28	37	74	53	94
Los Angeles	12,992	13,123	14,824	13,465	9,807	8,918	8,015	8,285	8,803	7,501
Madera	382	198	386	341	411	462	228	139	122	157
Marin	92	79	84	90	99	69	70	66	42	74
Mariposa	21	44	24	10	30	22	25	30	30	67
Mendocino	95	150	84	171	291	92	61	196	200	224
Merced	186	231	122	169	142	187	236	303	267	296
Modoc	10	12	13	18	23	29	10	4	*	*
Mono	5	9	7	8	8	3	9	1	2	5
Monterey	122	128	142	209	168	139	150	151	165	200
Napa	80	80	73	41	52	38	40	68	76	45
Nevada	40	45	44	52	52	19	32	24	34	30
Orange	2,190	1,815	2,071	2,422	2,554	2,240	1,962	2,076	2,101	2,061
Placer	158	142	232	343	520	383	240	272	406	363
Plumas	27	28	15	16	21	33	34	41	63	54
Riverside	2,065	2,290	2,930	2,764	2,639	2,713	2,745	3,014	3,130	4,041
Sacramento	897	766	1,166	2,338	2,314	1,867	1,591	1,443	1,281	1,278
San Benito	20	22	22	20	7	12	8	34	43	73
San Bernardino	3,032	2,994	3,215	3,219	3,165	3,208	3,277	3,166	3,084	2,975
San Diego	2,678	2,101	2,404	2,246	2,549	2,710	2,433	2,472	2,210	2,168
San Francisco	1,020	993	661	570	560	583	499	680	704	691
San Joaquin	610	500	552	593	546	646	765	729	668	770
San Luis Obispo	233	186	158	151	203	183	157	198	281	245
San Mateo	254	265	254	287	228	220	191	200	225	319
Santa Barbara	181	160	123	178	178	187	158	131	188	148
Santa Clara	1,109	1,211	1,125	1,112	1,007	990	926	1,020	923	864
Santa Cruz	219	229	252	250	245	223	278	185	141	160
Shasta	261	228	125	254	193	247	209	202	204	261
Sierra	2	10	3	1	2	0	2	0	6	2
Siskiyou	63	70	48	46	17	13	43	55	34	42
Solano	174	168	160	138	137	118	204	230	171	236
Sonoma	156	138	134	162	174	191	246	235	269	202
Stanislaus	514	314	258	235	263	246	312	385	309	301
Sutter	102	76	50	69	104	141	166	139	113	87
Tehama	70	91	111	139	74	59	78	154	110	122
Trinity	15	19	3	0	0	*	0	*	*	*
Tulare	965	669	667	816	757	532	125	204	600	513
Tuolumne	22	27	26	35	43	56	66	83	105	95
Ventura	524	463	387	327	376	434	429	390	392	393
Yolo	155	163	146	261	250	178	184	176	110	245
Yuba	156	65	104	151	196	171	131	144	131	152

*Court did not report for that year.

Source: Judicial Council of California

Table 2.10
Original Dependency Dispositions by County Court
1994-2003

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
California	30,856	35,553	30,544	32,295	33,858	31,021	30,244	32,326	33,762	33,423
Alameda	932	1,173	1,069	1,593	1,594	1,382	1,132	2,003	1,179	1,363
Alpine	4	19	14	4	2	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	22	32	12	29	25	17	7	5	0	0
Butte	366	494	416	556	373	298	304	324	346	347
Calaveras	30	63	42	26	65	73	61	142	155	188
Colusa	4	11	18	7	19	11	16	24	21	4
Contra Costa	735	301	244	330	203	78	0	4	6	455
Del Norte	71	43	37	58	41	51	26	57	49	41
El Dorado	102	87	70	109	49	18	47	130	332	325
Fresno	915	985	771	445	389	271	279	*	*	*
Glenn	66	70	40	79	40	25	27	43	15	77
Humboldt	81	16	0	1	20	54	57	58	16	*
Imperial	58	100	76	71	84	103	89	69	98	79
Inyo	10	4	4	11	9	4	17	17	28	19
Kern	822	704	753	1,526	1,578	1,675	1,989	2,121	2,579	2,587
Kings	35	45	27	20	117	52	112	180	217	113
Lake	69	74	49	42	41	80	59	114	71	52
Lassen	52	47	85	50	25	33	39	64	46	83
Los Angeles	9,204	13,390	10,406	8,238	8,937	7,513	7,532	7,223	7,379	7,195
Madera	56	50	54	87	85	64	149	135	132	135
Marin	63	138	103	87	73	80	80	50	56	34
Mariposa	1	15	5	4	10	18	20	4	7	27
Mendocino	52	95	58	83	236	65	60	112	179	209
Merced	17	55	83	70	54	105	81	181	228	223
Modoc	18	19	14	7	15	20	8	6	*	*
Mono	4	5	4	6	3	1	0	0	1	2
Monterey	47	14	9	7	44	98	131	28	24	103
Napa	73	59	57	33	31	18	18	41	42	43
Nevada	30	61	22	44	58	27	45	20	30	33
Orange	2,220	2,150	1,610	2,093	2,548	2,199	2,322	2,111	2,135	2,276
Placer	206	172	223	384	450	401	281	280	280	318
Plumas	24	8	9	5	19	19	28	21	58	33
Riverside	2,784	2,669	1,543	2,529	2,360	1,724	1,543	2,725	2,815	3,418
Sacramento	1,155	1,042	1,058	2,037	2,690	2,137	2,303	1,235	1,369	945
San Benito	22	77	18	15	4	3	25	48	30	52
San Bernardino	1,814	2,225	3,934	3,631	3,857	5,395	5,099	4,494	5,597	4,500
San Diego	2,590	2,935	2,657	2,645	2,287	2,077	1,444	2,631	2,329	2,459
San Francisco	1,073	1,201	960	970	869	837	670	768	941	1,148
San Joaquin	681	572	586	596	577	587	529	931	992	661
San Luis Obispo	193	166	179	226	265	198	173	219	249	225
San Mateo	206	171	175	230	229	153	325	307	265	241
Santa Barbara	168	152	152	171	161	149	54	78	148	94
Santa Clara	1,012	1,160	984	899	810	715	752	808	760	667
Santa Cruz	107	97	126	96	142	148	279	199	141	180
Shasta	149	150	65	169	97	83	88	84	136	150
Sierra	1	8	0	2	2	1	0	0	4	4
Siskiyou	58	58	44	74	49	20	14	9	6	17
Solano	81	154	117	71	90	79	154	234	113	191
Sonoma	215	216	168	196	168	175	292	198	276	220
Stanislaus	602	366	273	268	254	240	313	369	377	253
Sutter	78	85	74	77	127	139	131	115	110	87
Tehama	44	69	101	125	91	59	64	170	107	108
Trinity	23	27	9	0	0	*	0	*	*	*
Tulare	636	680	394	505	590	429	83	93	472	477
Tuolumne	30	29	24	24	41	60	63	98	95	101
Ventura	495	501	326	265	329	347	377	429	325	394
Yolo	143	170	114	230	390	322	288	346	260	300
Yuba	107	74	79	139	142	91	165	171	136	167

*Court did not report for that year.

Source: Judicial Council of California

Table 2.11
Subsequent Dependency Filings by County Court
1994-2003

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
California	5,570	7,644	7,506	8,418	7,996	8,406	7,131	5,899	6,128	5,294
Alameda	1	3	0	5	10	13	10	7	17	16
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	3	2	0	0	3	1	0	2	2
Butte	57	90	95	83	88	62	100	84	73	50
Calaveras	0	0	2	2	0	7	24	11	19	8
Colusa	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	1
Contra Costa	183	218	218	181	191	188	235	211	220	207
Del Norte	2	5	4	2	8	4	0	8	10	10
El Dorado	7	6	15	20	6	2	1	8	0	5
Fresno	34	60	111	333	286	457	476	87	321	160
Glenn	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	5
Humboldt	34	36	20	7	12	33	9	3	2	*
Imperial	8	28	38	92	100	123	117	73	8	6
Inyo	1	6	0	5	6	7	2	0	1	0
Kern	118	141	235	122	66	67	82	80	58	50
Kings	0	4	0	5	4	4	1	1	3	0
Lake	18	6	7	7	0	14	3	9	18	2
Lassen	15	17	28	21	26	21	13	33	12	2
Los Angeles	2,647	4,141	4,481	5,625	5,115	5,376	4,325	3,453	3,537	2,960
Madera	103	43	76	91	46	87	14	6	1	5
Marin	15	12	5	11	13	5	5	2	2	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	21	33
Mendocino	3	32	15	23	15	10	3	2	5	6
Merced	15	3	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	4
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*
Mono	2	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	5	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Napa	10	6	11	13	18	22	18	22	21	57
Nevada	0	0	0	1	9	16	17	19	18	20
Orange	87	61	66	44	55	48	57	78	58	56
Placer	10	17	36	58	139	208	100	76	73	94
Plumas	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	1	0
Riverside	58	620	115	44	81	101	65	84	92	73
Sacramento	22	13	37	55	81	93	62	75	24	24
San Benito	0	7	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	8
San Bernardino	209	244	216	232	148	150	163	192	207	226
San Diego	22	39	43	26	36	39	45	49	27	11
San Francisco	441	570	459	348	358	348	353	358	336	304
San Joaquin	250	90	89	49	80	54	31	16	0	0
San Luis Obispo	10	10	16	17	19	0	1	8	25	20
San Mateo	336	291	300	227	266	318	323	271	324	534
Santa Barbara	1	8	3	1	1	6	2	7	22	5
Santa Clara	267	372	390	324	362	279	300	299	247	47
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	9	2	0	4	0	0	41
Shasta	24	40	38	40	42	24	46	48	35	12
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	2	2	0	1	0	1	4	4	0	3
Solano	35	14	20	28	29	17	22	14	37	36
Sonoma	22	19	39	43	29	18	33	2	19	19
Stanislaus	30	13	9	19	12	8	0	2	1	0
Sutter	0	4	0	0	3	9	6	14	16	12
Tehama	6	33	19	8	14	8	9	18	21	4
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	*	0	*	*	*
Tulare	165	129	164	124	150	111	3	8	73	42
Tuolumne	3	0	5	1	1	0	5	137	101	82
Ventura	173	111	12	23	13	18	23	6	4	5
Yolo	32	21	6	16	2	0	2	0	12	23
Yuba	87	46	49	31	52	13	11	7	2	4

*Court did not report for that year.

Source: Judicial Council of California

Table 2.12
Subsequent Dependency Dispositions by County Court
1994-2003

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
California	4,203	5,830	4,564	5,723	7,094	6,834	6,589	4,468	4,404	3,116
Alameda	64	35	34	126	57	95	127	160	49	550
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	4	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0
Butte	52	82	88	70	77	38	77	83	82	43
Calaveras	3	7	2	0	0	23	13	23	24	10
Colusa	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	1	3
Contra Costa	40	19	12	15	28	16	0	5	0	3
Del Norte	0	1	5	1	4	22	6	0	5	3
El Dorado	2	7	3	9	6	1	2	28	18	11
Fresno	0	51	118	605	862	737	786	*	*	*
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	12
Humboldt	30	7	4	7	4	10	12	1	0	*
Imperial	0	17	16	43	38	69	25	26	4	0
Inyo	0	2	4	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
Kern	258	20	24	490	406	70	100	104	38	56
Kings	0	0	0	2	1	0	4	0	1	0
Lake	9	7	0	6	6	12	2	24	10	1
Lassen	6	19	13	12	3	3	7	4	3	2
Los Angeles	1,968	3,897	2,920	3,103	4,136	4,607	4,264	2,781	2,896	1,257
Madera	6	8	9	7	16	21	5	15	12	8
Marin	29	28	32	14	8	5	11	2	3	1
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	6
Mendocino	4	6	9	8	17	8	10	1	5	2
Merced	0	243	5	5	4	2	35	10	28	57
Modoc	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	*	*
Mono	2	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	1	0	0	4	0	22	0	2	0	0
Napa	15	7	7	7	6	5	12	20	7	18
Nevada	0	0	0	0	4	25	26	13	19	23
Orange	52	35	54	50	45	93	185	143	181	196
Placer	2	1	3	1	30	14	23	20	47	18
Plumas	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	1	0
Riverside	192	254	281	162	56	11	28	127	29	19
Sacramento	171	36	91	129	415	295	289	149	210	157
San Benito	0	16	0	0	0	0	4	3	9	3
San Bernardino	188	138	159	159	128	188	138	197	247	303
San Diego	216	80	47	40	14	10	12	6	1	6
San Francisco	37	22	34	18	27	4	12	0	28	21
San Joaquin	206	88	63	40	56	45	18	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	7	1	5	0	4	6	1	6	12	26
San Mateo	40	25	31	37	49	25	67	31	102	46
Santa Barbara	0	5	4	1	0	2	1	39	8	0
Santa Clara	222	311	286	271	243	180	201	209	39	8
Santa Cruz	2	0	0	2	1	0	4	0	0	0
Shasta	11	18	12	28	22	10	9	32	15	15
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Solano	19	20	43	17	26	10	3	10	15	28
Sonoma	7	6	19	39	22	26	3	0	8	15
Stanislaus	5	13	10	18	9	6	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	6	2	4	4	12	17	24	17	34
Tehama	3	12	5	13	15	6	6	18	12	2
Trinity	1	1	0	0	0	*	0	*	*	*
Tulare	107	115	62	58	106	64	2	2	101	51
Tuolumne	3	0	5	1	1	0	5	137	101	90
Ventura	124	103	4	14	16	14	12	5	12	5
Yolo	27	37	24	56	90	0	5	0	0	0
Yuba	70	11	9	30	27	17	11	2	0	3

*Court did not report for that year.

Source: Judicial Council of California

Table 2.13**First Entries, Reentries, Exits From Care, and Net Change in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care Population, 1998-2003**

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
First Entries	30,981	29,332	27,810	28,354	27,724	27,131
Reentries	7,925	5,875	6,320	6,563	6,714	6,748
Exits	34,040	35,890	44,273	39,850	38,344	37,278
Net Change	4,866	-683	-10,143	-4,933	-3,906	-3,399

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.

Table 2.14
First Entries to Foster Care by Removal Reason and Entry Year
Children in Care Five Days or More, 1998-2003

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Total	30,981	29,332	27,810	28,354	27,724	27,131
Neglect	21,323	20,902	19,904	20,709	21,033	20,777
Physical Abuse	4,822	4,864	4,715	4,271	3,734	3,617
Sexual Abuse	1,766	1,951	1,675	1,847	1,556	1,358
Other	1,906	1,612	1,510	1,521	1,398	1,378
Missing	1,164	***	6	6	***	***

*** Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2002 Quarter 4 Extract.

Table 2.15
First Entries to Foster Care by First Placement Type and Entry Year
Children in Care Five Days or More, 1998-2003

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Total	30,981	29,332	27,810	28,354	27,724	27,131
Kinship	8,412	7,531	4,663	5,064	4,036	4,423
Foster Family (Non-Relative)	9,219	8,834	9,422	8,917	9,112	8,633
Foster Family (Non-Relative; Agency)	4,712	5,465	6,532	6,977	7,553	7,679
Court Specified Home	132	68	58	58	92	67
Group	2,093	1,562	1,520	1,581	2,129	2,760
Shelter	5,055	4,822	4,567	4,563	3,623	2,416
Guardian	544	468	466	501	515	500
Other	32	0	0	0	0	0
Missing	782	582	582	693	664	653

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2002 Quarter 4 Extract.

Table 2.16
Median First-Spell Length of Stay in Days by Major Placement Type
Children in Care Five Days or More, 1999-2001 Entries Combined

	Total	Kinship	Foster (Non- Relative)	Foster (Non- Relative; Agency)	Group	Shelter	Other	Mixed
California	523	590	374	591	420	35	662	1,112
Alameda	411	694	24	621	235	8	**	1,280
Alpine	236	134	335	**	*	*	5	*
Amador	230	123	9	242	211	*	*	**
Butte	389	445	343	338	1040	38	**	476
Calaveras	514	514	46	670	757	91	*	**
Colusa	240	524	*	66	278	*	*	*
Contra Costa	441	530	189	598	461	8	743	**
Del Norte	501	849	245	665	**	*	**	*
El Dorado	361	443	445	257	317		119	**
Fresno	649	702	485	781	631	11	868	1344
Glenn	283	382	291	93	918	*	*	*
Humboldt	180	334	173	617	583	13	207	**
Imperial	399	555	493	409	1004	25	341	**
Inyo	562	654	455	518	964	*	*	**
Kern	430	533	253	445	546	11	684	1171
Kings	296	411	204	215	666	*	478	394
Lake	244	310	371	101	1,034	*	**	972
Lassen	128	241	563	106	349	*	*	422
Los Angeles	721	735	695	725	803	363	671	1482
Madera	383	291	280	414	**	427	385	1510
Marin	167	377	177	931	42	8	832	**
Mariposa	162	129	249	206	276	*	*	**
Mendocino	433	517	369	368	**	77	**	1,331
Merced	341	528	85	435	887	71	**	**
Modoc	170	497	204	115	503	*	*	*
Mono	**	**	239	**	*	*	*	*
Monterey	373	470	298	598	90	*	616	750
Napa	560	611	551	381	**	*	*	198
Nevada	154	328	111	73	**	*	*	615
Orange	551	624	544	741	721	44	893	729
Placer	246	336	166	214	1004	19	318	712
Plumas	280	377	130	263	**	*	*	*
Riverside	406	447	162	504	509	33	574	881
Sacramento	373	587	55	463	28	53	1173	1012
San Benito	469	400	**	486	358	**	*	437
San Bernardino	433	471	164	442	592	22	767	1050
San Diego	534	552	580	918	759	14	449	1035
San Francisco	552	709	293	381	442	49	592	1161
San Joaquin	503	666	384	654	**	19	1120	**
San Luis Obispo	410	427	395	412	232	57	453	1,142
San Mateo	224	523	154	180	14	38	438	**
Santa Barbara	429	406	383	616	693		**	**
Santa Clara	329	501	458	578	32	22	1153	1,046
Santa Cruz	183	315	133	118	157	11	*	**
Shasta	372	558	78	721	490	38	**	**
Sierra	93	*	5	181	*	*	*	*
Siskiyou	376	437	62	376	422	*	344	784
Solano	537	663	617	433	327	17	**	695
Sonoma	889	707	687	1131	1187	121	**	**
Stanislaus	544	598	384	661	286	221	345	**
Sutter	392	436	259	404	850	*	173	857
Tehama	184	254	187	39	463	*	*	**
Trinity	185	524	66	326	59	*	572	
Tulare	428	434	384	424	563	*	472	1007
Tuolumne	230	421	78	687	122	*	**	**
Ventura	524	547	443	690	260	26	970	904
Yolo	490	550	266	511	65	126	**	409
Yuba	409	495	228	411	**	*	*	533
Missing	440	644	359	503	26	37	684	943

*There were no children in that category on which to calculate an estimate.

**Estimates not calculated since the corresponding proportion of entries had not yet exited care.

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.17
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type
January 1, 2000-2004

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Total	109,049	98,914	93,947	89,913	84,834
Preadoptive Foster Care	2,813	3,153	2,899	3,064	2,330
Kinship	46,944	37,909	34,104	31,364	29,605
Foster Family (Non-Relative)	14,994	13,769	13,045	11,864	10,805
Foster Family (Non-Relative; Agency)	17,830	18,010	19,034	19,569	19,061
Court Specified Home	1,354	958	730	622	462
Group	6,953	6,947	7,232	7,456	7,486
Non-Foster Care	2,768	869	614	406	243
Shelter	552	718	788	784	733
Transitional Housing	20	33	76	82	134
Guardian	7,622	7,832	8,067	8,316	7,945
Runaway	543	808	841	967	1,047
Trial Home Visit	3,303	4,319	3,086	2,411	1,732
Other	3,353	3,589	3,431	3,008	3,251

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.18
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type and Time
in Current Placement Episode, January 1, 2003

	Total	Less Than 12 Months	12-23 Months	24-35 Months	36-47 Months	48-59 Months	60 or More Months
Total	89,913	25,460	16,597	9,674	6,676	5,557	25,949
Preadoptive Foster Care	3,064	70	462	673	540	383	936
Kinship	31,364	9,226	6,013	3,223	2,003	1,615	9,284
Foster Family (Non-Relative)	11,864	4,185	2,222	1,103	732	597	3,025
Foster Family (Non-Relative; Agency)	19,569	7,031	3,974	2,231	1,557	1,082	3,694
Court Specified Home	622	129	77	74	45	36	261
Group	7,456	1,358	1,062	803	652	616	2,965
Non-Foster Care	784	222	114	75	61	51	261
Shelter	406	255	37	24	13	17	60
Transitional	82	10	6	13	6	4	43
Guardian	8,316	853	730	706	719	832	4,476
Runaway	967	204	191	122	90	100	260
Trial Home Visit	2,411	737	959	328	119	77	191
Other	3,008	1,180	750	299	139	147	493

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.19
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type and Time
in Current Placement Episode, January 1, 2004

	Total	Less Than 12 Months	12-23 Months	24-35 Months	36-47 Months	48-59 Months	60 or More Months
Total	84,834	25,153	15,798	9,222	5,927	4,463	24,271
Preadoptive Foster Care	2,330	65	351	523	375	225	791
Kinship	29,605	9,406	5,911	3,106	1,797	1,248	8,137
Foster Family (Non-Relative)	10,805	4,055	1,928	1,002	625	471	2,724
Foster Family (Non-Relative; Agency)	19,061	6,836	3,890	2,235	1,467	1,022	3,611
Court Specified Home	462	71	83	41	36	31	200
Group	7,486	1,510	1,042	798	614	537	2,985
Non-Foster Care	733	190	95	66	61	47	274
Shelter	243	134	37	21	11	10	30
Transitional	134	16	22	20	17	9	50
Guardian	7,945	862	724	705	601	653	4,400
Runaway	1,047	184	202	149	105	65	342
Trial Home Visit	1,732	523	725	212	70	44	158
Other	3,251	1,301	788	344	148	101	569

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.20
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Supervising County and In-County Placement
January 1, 2001-2003

	2001	% in In-County Placement	2002	% in In-County Placement	2003	% in In-County Placement
California	98,893		93,986		90,664	
Alameda	4,620	64.1	4,627	63.7	4,345	63.3
Alpine	***	0.0	***	0.0	***	33.3
Amador	37	27.0	31	35.4	28	25.0
Butte	614	75.0	707	74.1	712	77.8
Calaveras	81	59.2	105	60.9	132	62.8
Colusa	17	35.2	35	60.0	27	29.6
Contra Costa	2,219	72.4	2,251	73.7	2,180	73.8
Del Norte	141	75.8	126	79.3	116	73.2
El Dorado	209	71.2	207	68.5	182	69.2
Fresno	3,369	84.3	3,376	83.5	3,299	83.9
Glenn	56	57.1	56	50.0	55	52.7
Humboldt	267	84.6	290	84.4	263	87.0
Imperial	365	86.3	406	87.4	392	84.4
Inyo	26	50.0	32	56.2	35	62.8
Kern	2,523	88.7	2,710	90.7	2,906	91.5
Kings	335	73.1	340	78.2	369	73.4
Lake	152	71.0	169	70.4	171	72.5
Lassen	53	64.1	76	71.0	62	64.5
Los Angeles	40,382	85.0	35,811	85.3	33,628	84.9
Madera	212	73.1	215	65.1	232	56.4
Marin	149	65.7	137	73.7	113	74.3
Mariposa	27	74.0	31	64.5	54	66.6
Mendocino	347	77.5	360	71.1	336	65.7
Merced	379	58.0	435	66.4	514	71.9
Modoc	26	42.3	27	70.3	23	60.8
Mono	10	30.0	6	33.3	6	33.3
Monterey	364	70.0	327	76.4	384	80.4
Napa	139	65.4	151	70.1	138	73.9
Nevada	107	67.2	114	71.9	110	69.0
Orange	4,243	71.8	4,181	72.3	3,827	74.8
Placer	381	56.6	384	57.8	422	58.5
Plumas	36	55.5	42	57.1	43	55.8
Riverside	4,357	79.4	4,323	78.5	4,481	81.5
Sacramento	5,643	75.8	5,164	75.1	4,865	76.6
San Benito	36	69.4	63	60.3	91	64.8
San Bernardino	5,485	73.8	5,479	72.5	5,467	72.8
San Diego	6,861	88.7	6,755	88.0	6,441	88.4
San Francisco	2,416	50.9	2,317	52.8	2,336	52.7
San Joaquin	1,564	77.1	1,636	76.3	1,678	78.7
San Luis Obispo	343	85.7	348	84.7	390	84.3
San Mateo	525	55.2	507	57.1	513	58.8
Santa Barbara	393	74.5	349	76.5	296	77.0
Santa Clara	2,551	67.2	2,446	65.5	2,429	65.2
Santa Cruz	340	77.3	299	73.9	282	78.3
Shasta	567	80.9	582	83.5	620	83.3
Sierra	***	50.0	***	0.0	***	25.0
Siskiyou	165	70.3	183	68.8	159	68.5
Solano	504	76.1	605	78.6	585	79.3
Sonoma	515	74.9	566	74.7	591	75.2
Stanislaus	816	75.4	817	74.4	725	74.3
Sutter	221	45.7	233	46.3	207	54.1
Tehama	165	69.6	192	71.8	193	72.0
Trinity	50	70.0	43	74.4	47	68.0
Tulare	1,301	81.0	1,272	82.2	1,233	83.3
Tuolumne	108	72.2	126	67.4	126	63.4
Ventura	796	83.0	713	84.2	671	83.3
Yolo	382	42.4	403	38.9	430	37.4
Yuba	299	40.1	318	41.1	289	41.1
Missing	604	11.0	482	15.3	415	20.7

*** Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

Source: Center for Social Services Research CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 2 Extract

Table 2.21
Caseload by Service Component Type and Year
January 1, 2000-2004

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Total	153,910	146,839	142,266	138,448	132,689
Emergency Response	6,179	5,119	4,846	4,283	3,851
Family Maintenance	32,752	31,300	30,123	29,349	27,576
Family Reunification	33,025	30,678	30,963	31,782	31,840
Permanent Placement	81,954	79,742	76,334	73,034	69,422

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.22**Exits at 3, 6, 12, 15, 18, and 24 Months by Placement Type
Children in Care Five Days or More, 2001 First Entries to Care**

	3 Months	6 Months	12 Months	15 Months	18 Months	24 Months
Kin						
Reunified	894	1,363	2,731	3,533	4,105	4,973
Adopted	***	***	26	79	156	448
Kin-GAP	0	***	6	92	200	469
Other Guardianship	15	42	100	172	326	597
Emancipated	***	11	39	55	74	118
Other	43	70	102	116	125	141
In Care	9,565	9,034	7,518	6,475	5,536	3,776
Non-Kin						
Reunified	4,326	5,142	6,684	7,630	8,261	9,314
Adopted	8	16	156	264	460	985
Kin-GAP	***	***	***	***	***	5
Other Guardianship	35	42	66	74	87	116
Emancipated	29	51	114	152	189	283
Other	245	346	450	484	511	571
In Care	11,859	10,905	9,032	7,898	6,993	5,229

Except 2003 data drawn from the 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

***Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.

Table 2.23
Outcomes per Year for Children Exiting by Kin/Non-Kin and Placement Type
1998-2003

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Total						
Exited From Kin	12,468	13,366	19,566	16,540	14,905	14,319
Exited From Non-Kin	20,027	21,515	23,622	22,215	21,831	21,959
Exited From Missing	659	559	630	651	590	235
Total All Placement Types	33,154	35,440	43,818	39,406	37,326	36,513
Reunified						
Exited From Kin	6,577	8,130	8,872	7,626	6,916	6,238
Exited From Non-Kin	10,137	13,055	14,214	12,823	12,796	12,187
Exited From Missing	544	478	581	578	523	165
Total All Placement Types	17,258	21,663	23,667	21,027	20,235	18,590
Adoption Finalized						
Exited From Kin	1,124	2,356	3,178	3,777	3,117	3,310
Exited From Non-Kin	2,762	3,705	4,121	4,286	3,789	4,267
Exited From Missing	15	5	***	10	***	11
Total All Placement Types	3,901	6,066	7,300	8,073	6,908	7,588
Kin-GAP						
Exited From Kin	0	0	1,962	1,745	1,625	1,508
Exited From Non-Kin	0	0	160	158	200	333
Exited From Missing	0	0	***	0	5	***
Total All Placement Types	0	0	2,124	1,903	1,830	1,842
Other Guardianship						
Exited From Kin	1,082	864	3,578	1,671	1,523	1,569
Exited From Non-Kin	442	510	680	643	616	703
Exited From Missing	5	6	***	6	7	8
Total All Placement Types	1,529	1,380	4,259	2,320	2,146	2,280
Emancipation						
Exited From Kin	1,169	1,303	1,413	1,208	1,278	1,236
Exited From Non-Kin	1,895	2,419	2,639	2,575	2,778	2,860
Exited From Missing	18	13	17	17	13	***
Total All Placement Types	3,082	3,735	4,069	3,800	4,069	4,100
Other						
Exited From Kin	480	595	561	511	445	458
Exited From Non-Kin	1,581	1,730	1,803	1,730	1,650	1,608
Exited From Missing	49	56	28	40	40	46
Total All Placement Types	2,110	2,381	2,392	2,281	2,135	2,112
Unclear						
Exited From Kin	2,036	118	***	***	***	0
Exited From Non-Kin	3,210	96	5	0	***	***
Exited From Missing	28	***	0	0	0	0
Total All Placement Types	5,274	215	7	***	***	***

Except 2003 data drawn from 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.

*** Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 2 Extract.

Table 2.24**Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Race/Ethnicity
First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries**

	Total	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian/ Other	Native American	Missing
1998 Reunified With Kin Within 24 Months	5,703	2,087	2,417	916	172	81	30
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	294	114	114	51	6	9	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	506	198	178	106	10	14	0
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	741	276	283	153	14	15	0
1998 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 24 Months	8,249	3,148	3,160	1,448	338	82	73
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	761	325	263	132	31	10	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,100	474	355	217	41	12	***
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	1,484	642	474	294	59	13	***
1999 Reunified With Kin Within 24 Months	5,792	1,921	2,472	1,094	174	73	58
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	281	120	96	62	0	***	***
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	472	199	159	103	***	6	***
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	682	256	244	165	***	10	***
1999 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 24 Months	8,542	3,136	3,283	1,482	444	98	99
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	695	283	230	146	16	16	***
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,036	438	331	214	21	26	6
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	1,403	595	457	277	31	35	8
2000 Reunified With Kin Within 12 Months	4,762	1,574	2,159	802	138	70	19
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	279	95	123	48	5	8	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	469	159	205	90	7	8	0
2000 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 12 Months	8,993	3,145	3,607	1,596	416	126	103
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	785	328	283	140	26	8	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,204	498	417	228	37	24	0
2001 Reunified With Kin Within 12 Months	2,731	1,003	1,184	414	69	48	13
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	164	64	65	30	0	***	***
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	288	120	101	56	***	7	***
2001 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 12 Months	6,684	2,418	2,663	1,129	303	84	87
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	670	256	219	156	21	18	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	964	378	313	218	32	22	***

*** Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.

Table 2.25
Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Age at Entry
First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries

	Total	Under 1	1-2	3-5	6-10	11-15	16-17
1998 Reunified With Kin Within 24 Months	5,703	819	997	1,365	1,561	860	101
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	294	63	53	64	70	39	5
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	506	93	101	112	120	75	5
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	741	130	143	174	184	105	5
1998 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 24 Months	8,249	1,199	1,130	1,559	2,224	1,769	368
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	761	144	106	150	193	159	9
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,100	211	162	203	278	231	15
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	1,484	295	227	263	385	299	15
1999 Reunified With Kin Within 24 Months	5,792	843	943	1,278	1,694	904	130
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	281	46	56	61	68	48	***
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	472	75	91	107	123	73	***
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	682	105	135	162	182	95	***
1999 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 24 Months	8,542	1,229	1,091	1,534	2,384	1,905	399
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	695	132	100	110	185	152	16
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,036	195	138	186	275	222	20
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	1,403	262	222	251	375	271	22
2000 Reunified With Kin Within 12 Months	4,762	657	822	1,038	1,367	779	99
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	279	48	57	62	72	36	***
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	469	76	94	109	128	56	6
2000 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 12 Months	8,993	1,351	1,221	1,605	2,419	2,000	397
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	785	154	128	138	170	178	17
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,204	230	196	221	268	264	25
2001 Reunified With Kin Within 12 Months	2,731	371	482	565	763	494	56
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	164	31	31	35	45	20	***
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	288	46	56	64	72	47	***
2001 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 12 Months	6,684	1,027	908	1,080	1,749	1,573	347
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	670	149	105	98	151	147	20
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	964	203	142	155	221	217	26

*** Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.

Table 2.26
Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Sex
First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries

	Total	Female	Male	Missing
1998 Reunified With Kin Within 24 Months	5,703	2,887	2,815	***
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	294	142	152	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	506	261	245	0
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	741	368	373	0
1998 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 24 Months	8,249	4,185	4,063	***
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	761	380	381	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,100	547	553	0
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	1,484	747	737	0
1999 Reunified With Kin Within 24 Months	5,792	2,938	2,854	0
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	281	152	129	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	472	245	227	0
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	682	355	327	0
1999 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 24 Months	8,542	4,430	4,109	***
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	695	365	330	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,036	534	502	0
Reentered Care Within 24 Months	1,403	718	685	0
2000 Reunified With Kin Within 12 Months	4,762	2,461	2,301	0
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	279	160	119	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	469	266	203	0
2000 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 12 Months	8,993	4,600	4,393	0
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	785	406	379	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	1,204	631	573	0
2001 Reunified With Kin Within 12 Months	2,731	1,389	1,342	0
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	164	87	77	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	288	138	150	0
2001 Reunified With Non-Kin Within 12 Months	6,684	3,494	3,189	***
Reentered Care Within 6 Months	670	335	335	0
Reentered Care Within 12 Months	964	502	462	0

*** Between 1 and 4 (masked to protect confidentiality).

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2003 Quarter 4 Extract.

Table 2.27
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect Over Time by Race/Ethnicity
First Substantiated Reports, 2001 Base Period

	Total	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian/ Other	Native American	Missing
Base Period	82,682	26,124	35,106	12,662	3,273	772	4,745
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect by:							
3 Months	4,479	1,710	1,785	699	140	66	79
6 Months	6,961	2,652	2,847	1,052	196	97	117
12 Months	9,984	3,799	4,092	1,536	263	131	163
18 Months	12,251	4,612	5,016	1,913	329	164	217
24 Months	14,035	5,242	5,760	2,227	372	186	248

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

Table 2.28
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect Over Time by Age
First Substantiated Reports, 2001 Base Period

	Total	Under 1	1-2	3-5	6-10	11-15	16-17	18 and Over	Missing
Base Period	82,682	9,778	9,121	13,581	24,341	20,506	5,251	70	34
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect by:									
3 Months	4,479	560	517	753	1,271	1,139	236	2	1
6 Months	6,961	818	810	1,189	2,063	1,739	335	4	3
12 Months	9,984	1,173	1,217	1,720	2,972	2,464	429	4	5
18 Months	12,251	1,471	1,484	2,141	3,680	2,992	470	4	9
24 Months	14,035	1,709	1,731	2,485	4,250	3,369	478	4	9

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

Table 2.29
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect Over Time by Sex
First Substantiated Reports, 2001 Base Period

	Total	Female	Male	Missing
Base Period	82,682	43,257	39,321	104
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect by:				
3 Months	4,479	2,378	2,100	1
6 Months	6,961	3,643	3,316	2
12 Months	9,984	5,230	4,752	2
18 Months	12,251	6,452	5,797	2
24 Months	14,035	7,394	6,637	4

Source: Center for Social Services Research. CWS/CMS 2004 Quarter 1 Extract.

Table 2.30
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Race/Ethnicity
July 2003

	Total	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian/Pacific Islander	Amer. Indian or Alaska Native
California*	83,921	26,449	27,166	26,776	2,673	815
Alameda	3,498	606	869	1178	835	10
Alpine	2	0	2	0	0	0
Amador	28	24	2	0	0	2
Butte	742	575	50	69	22	26
Calaveras	145	138	3	3	0	1
Colusa	32	19	12	0	1	0
Contra Costa	1,804	628	143	914	112	7
Del Norte	144	100	6	2	0	36
El Dorado	192	181	9	1	1	0
Fresno	2,929	770	1358	671	100	30
Glenn	77	62	12	1	1	1
Humboldt	327	226	20	15	20	46
Imperial	330	68	230	17	0	15
Inyo	31	23	2	1	0	5
Kern	2,804	1180	1166	412	17	29
Kings	339	104	168	58	2	7
Lake	233	170	29	16	1	17
Lassen	120	97	12	5	0	6
Los Angeles*	33,356	4943	12736	14898	560	177
Madera	211	63	130	15	0	3
Marin	145	78	17	45	4	1
Mariposa	46	38	0	3	1	4
Mendocino	398	287	46	12	1	52
Merced	602	243	269	72	16	2
Modoc	31	25	0	2	1	3
Mono	12	10	1	0	0	1
Monterey	495	137	291	56	10	1
Napa	142	100	25	16	1	0
Nevada	124	107	11	1	2	3
Orange	2,614	1776	680	109	48	1
Placer	342	294	27	7	5	9
Plumas	74	62	6	1	0	5
Riverside	3,831	1827	1323	632	21	28
Sacramento	4,568	2063	652	1618	181	54
San Benito	70	13	57	0	0	0
San Bernardino	4,869	2000	1493	1328	22	26
San Diego	5,102	2106	1503	1288	131	74
San Francisco	2,434	241	225	1774	181	13
San Joaquin	1,401	529	421	380	62	9
San Luis Obispo	423	334	68	19	1	1
San Mateo	482	114	159	167	42	0
Santa Barbara	318	135	143	31	6	3
Santa Clara	2,183	575	1163	301	123	21
Santa Cruz	242	122	106	12	2	0
Shasta	552	486	15	25	3	23
Sierra	21	16	0	4	0	1
Siskiyou	172	155	5	3	0	9
Solano	558	231	40	269	15	3
Sonoma	451	301	101	21	15	13
Stanislaus	680	323	259	45	51	2
Sutter	206	158	22	22	2	2
Tehama	197	170	16	6	0	5
Trinity	53	50	2	1	0	0
Tulare	1,164	531	511	94	19	9
Tuolumne	130	111	8	2	0	9
Ventura	756	260	398	77	18	3
Yolo	446	267	127	42	6	4
Yuba	243	197	17	15	11	3

*California total and Los Angeles subtotal include 42 children with missing race/ethnic origin.

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.31
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Asian/Pacific Islander Ethnicity
July 2003

	Total Asian/ Pacific Islander	Asian Indian	Cambodian	Chinese	Filipino	Laotian	Samoan	Vietnamese	Other Asian or Pacific Islander
California	2,673	130	462	396	521	152	141	338	533
Alameda	835	63	48	304	121	21	6	178	94
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	22	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	12
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Contra Costa	112	1	79	4	11	0	2	0	15
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Fresno	100	2	32	1	3	29	0	0	33
Glenn	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Humboldt	20	3	0	0	4	10	0	0	3
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	17	1	0	2	3	0	1	0	10
Kings	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Lake	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	560	22	67	34	146	7	66	50	168
Madera	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Mariposa	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Mendocino	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Merced	16	0	0	0	2	12	0	0	2
Modoc	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	10	0	0	0	6	0	1	1	2
Napa	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Nevada	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Orange	48	1	3	3	3	0	4	25	9
Placer	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	21	5	2	0	5	2	0	1	6
Sacramento	181	5	71	5	6	19	10	12	53
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	22	5	5	1	2	1	2	1	5
San Diego	131	2	10	6	63	5	11	14	20
San Francisco	181	1	57	30	37	1	26	9	20
San Joaquin	62	8	21	1	17	8	0	3	4
San Luis Obispo	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	42	1	4	0	21	0	6	1	9
Santa Barbara	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4
Santa Clara	123	5	6	4	39	5	5	36	23
Santa Cruz	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Shasta	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	15	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	8
Sonoma	15	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	5
Stanislaus	51	1	25	1	1	10	0	5	8
Sutter	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	19	0	14	0	0	4	0	0	1
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	18	0	0	0	13	1	0	1	3
Yolo	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2
Yuba	11	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	4

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.32
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Primary Language Spoken
July 2003

	Total	Sign Language (ASL & Other)	Spanish	Total Asian Pacific/ Islander Languages	English	Other Non- English Languages
California*	83,921	39	6,678	740	76,218	170
Alameda	3,498	1	614	486	2,279	118
Alpine	2	0	0	0	2	0
Amador	28	0	0	0	28	0
Butte	742	1	0	0	741	0
Calaveras	145	0	0	0	145	0
Colusa	32	0	0	0	32	0
Contra Costa	1,804	1	3	2	1,795	3
Del Norte	144	0	0	0	144	0
El Dorado	192	0	0	0	192	0
Fresno	2,929	0	15	8	2,899	7
Glenn	77	0	0	0	77	0
Humboldt	327	0	0	9	318	0
Imperial	330	0	230	0	100	0
Inyo	31	0	0	0	31	0
Kern	2,804	0	0	0	2,804	0
Kings	339	0	0	0	339	0
Lake	233	0	0	0	233	0
Lassen	120	0	0	0	120	0
Los Angeles*	33,356	36	5,157	108	27,959	20
Madera	211	0	59	0	152	0
Marin	145	0	0	0	145	0
Mariposa	46	0	0	0	46	0
Mendocino	398	0	2	0	396	0
Merced	602	0	9	8	585	0
Modoc	31	0	0	0	31	0
Mono	12	0	0	0	12	0
Monterey	495	0	11	0	484	0
Napa	142	0	2	0	140	0
Nevada	124	0	0	0	124	0
Orange	2,614	0	13	3	2,598	0
Placer	342	0	0	1	341	0
Plumas	74	0	0	0	74	0
Riverside	3,831	0	30	4	3,797	0
Sacramento	4,568	0	0	1	4,565	2
San Benito	70	0	26	0	44	0
San Bernardino	4,869	0	33	2	4,829	5
San Diego	5,102	0	159	1	4,942	0
San Francisco	2,434	0	67	28	2,338	1
San Joaquin	1,401	0	0	0	1,400	1
San Luis Obispo	423	0	2	0	421	0
San Mateo	482	0	6	0	476	0
Santa Barbara	318	0	1	2	314	1
Santa Clara	2,183	0	47	23	2,112	1
Santa Cruz	242	0	33	0	209	0
Shasta	552	0	0	0	552	0
Sierra	21	0	0	0	21	0
Siskiyou	172	0	0	0	172	0
Solano	558	0	4	1	552	1
Sonoma	451	0	4	0	447	0
Stanislaus	680	0	98	44	529	9
Sutter	206	0	0	0	206	0
Tehama	197	0	0	0	197	0
Trinity	53	0	0	0	53	0
Tulare	1,164	0	19	0	1,144	1
Tuolumne	130	0	0	0	130	0
Ventura	756	0	31	8	717	0
Yolo	446	0	2	1	443	0
Yuba	243	0	1	0	242	0

*California total and Los Angeles subtotal include 76 children with missing primary language.

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.33
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Primary Asian/Pacific Islander Language Spoken
July 2003

	Total Asian/ Pacific Islander	Cam- bodian	Can- tonese	Hmong	Korean	Lao	Mandarin	Tagalog	Viet- namese	Other Asian/ Pacific Islander
California	740	93	268	13	31	31	27	54	193	30
Alameda	486	17	247	1	16	8	21	30	134	12
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	8	1	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	9	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	108	33	6	1	14	2	6	12	29	5
Madera	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Placer	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Sacramento	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
San Francisco	28	13	7	0	0	0	0	3	3	2
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Santa Clara	23	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	20	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sonoma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	44	25	1	5	0	8	0	0	5	0
Sutter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	8	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Yolo	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.34**Foster Care Independent Living Program Characteristics and Outcomes by Year
October 1-September 30, 2000-2003**

	2000	2001	2002	2003
Total Receiving ILP Services	14,763	19,487	23,361	24,988
Marital Status				
Single	14,652	N/A	23,102	24,566
Married	111	N/A	259	422
Parental Status				
Mother	1,049	1,275	1,537	1,801
Father	297	230	451	332
Special Needs (Educational, Mental, and/or Physical)	3,060	3,850	3,651	4,244
Foster Care Status				
No Longer in Foster Care (Age 18-20) Received ILP in Six Month Period Following Exit From Foster Care	4,574	5,651	9,852	10,667
Still in Foster Care	3,285	3,862	5,355	6,000
	6,904	9,974	8,154	8,321
Supervising Agency				
Welfare	11,875	14,639	12,358	15,633
Probation	3,448	3,951	4,611	5,587
Education/Vocational Status				
Completed High School/GED	3,257	3,616	4,940	6,395
Continuing High/School/GED Program	8,749	10,798	12,565	13,324
Completed Vocational or On-the-Job Training	944	1,100	1,430	1,461
Continuing Vocational or On-the-Job Training	793	883	1,251	1,814
Enrolled in College	1,927	2,240	3,291	3,450
Employment Status				
Full-Time	1,139	1,554	1,851	1,776
Part-Time	2,860	3,229	3,840	3,867
Seeking Employment	3,690	4,434	5,837	6,174
Determined Unemployable or SSI Eligible	390	544	688	908

N/A Not Available

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.35
Foster Care Independent Living Program Youths Served by County
October 1, 2002-September 30, 2003

	ILP Offered	ILP Received	Recipient Supervised by County Welfare	Recipient Supervised by Probation
California	36,184	24,988	15633	5587
Alameda	1,428	1,322	913	84
Alpine	3	3	2	1
Amador	27	25	22	3
Butte	242	210	152	46
Calaveras	163	123	12	111
Colusa	18	16	8	8
Contra Costa	923	723	702	21
Del Norte	76	60	32	28
El Dorado	134	133	85	47
Fresno	965	925	629	175
Glenn	36	36	4	8
Humboldt	167	147	70	66
Imperial	139	118	55	63
Inyo	25	22	11	11
Kern	654	537	500	37
Kings	71	51	43	1
Lake	55	45	29	16
Lassen	131	110	21	89
Los Angeles	11,601	6,705	2766	1214
Madera	134	95	49	19
Marin	123	101	66	35
Mariposa	15	11	6	5
Mendocino	63	63	29	12
Merced	484	257	306	178
Modoc	17	13	2	11
Mono	3	3	3	0
Monterey	103	82	44	38
Napa	142	142	23	75
Nevada	93	81	37	44
Orange	2,254	1,505	1272	233
Placer	161	153	72	37
Plumas	26	23	16	7
Riverside	2,663	343	1095	434
Sacramento	1,516	1,225	478	178
San Benito	26	20	14	4
San Bernardino	3,807	2,969	1584	676
San Diego	2,191	2,092	1685	407
San Francisco	1,293	892	822	70
San Joaquin	451	284	209	77
San Luis Obispo	313	297	78	219
San Mateo	91	73	59	14
Santa Barbara	137	137	76	61
Santa Clara	596	574	274	162
Santa Cruz	153	138	73	29
Shasta	202	198	129	68
Sierra	2	2	0	2
Siskiyou	54	43	32	11
Solano	212	187	126	61
Sonoma	435	206	178	33
Stanislaus	271	232	128	30
Sutter	96	71	65	6
Tehama	79	78	38	21
Trinity	33	33	23	10
Tulare	693	665	213	193
Tuolumne	20	23	13	9
Ventura	144	144	90	54
Yolo	68	72	51	4
Yuba	162	150	119	31

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.36
Foster Care Independent Living Program Client Characteristics by County
October 1, 2002-September 30, 2003

	Single	Married	Father	Mother	Special Needs
California	24,566	422	332	1,801	4,244
Alameda	1,316	6	1	42	91
Alpine	2	1	0	0	0
Amador	25	0	0	1	4
Butte	205	5	3	14	33
Calaveras	123	0	0	0	N/A
Colusa	16	0	0	1	3
Contra Costa	718	5	7	55	95
Del Norte	60	0	1	3	8
El Dorado	131	2	0	8	11
Fresno	916	9	15	68	N/A
Glenn	36	0	0	3	7
Humboldt	142	5	2	8	20
Imperial	117	1	1	13	11
Inyo	19	3	0	4	8
Kern	536	1	1	10	10
Kings	51	0	0	4	7
Lake	45	0	0	3	24
Lassen	108	2	2	3	9
Los Angeles	6,581	124	113	532	2,097
Madera	95	0	2	3	2
Marin	99	2	2	7	35
Mariposa	11	0	0	1	3
Mendocino	62	1	1	5	28
Merced	254	3	2	41	N/A
Modoc	13	0	0	0	4
Mono	3	0	0	0	0
Monterey	82	0	1	2	9
Napa	142	0	1	4	39
Nevada	80	1	3	4	8
Orange	1,493	12	13	110	89
Placer	152	1	0	12	41
Plumas	23	0	0	1	2
Riverside	338	5	8	19	19
Sacramento	1,212	13	10	114	252
San Benito	20	0	1	0	1
San Bernardino	2,807	162	38	194	408
San Diego	2,081	11	51	139	149
San Francisco	891	1	5	88	83
San Joaquin	282	2	2	27	36
San Luis Obispo	288	9	5	24	52
San Mateo	73	0	0	1	20
Santa Barbara	137	0	2	12	64
Santa Clara	571	3	12	39	113
Santa Cruz	135	3	3	6	66
Shasta	197	1	1	13	39
Sierra	2	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	43	0	1	3	14
Solano	187	0	2	14	16
Sonoma	204	2	0	10	49
Stanislaus	232	0	1	23	72
Sutter	66	5	1	10	0
Tehama	78	0	0	2	5
Trinity	30	3	0	3	1
Tulare	652	13	15	70	61
Tuolumne	22	1	0	2	5
Ventura	141	3	0	14	7
Yolo	72	0	1	3	5
Yuba	149	1	2	9	9

N/A Not Available

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.37
Foster Care Independent Living Program Client Progress by County
October 1, 2002-September 30, 2003

	GED/High School Completed or Continues	Completed or Enrolled in Vocational Program	Enrolled in College	Employed or Seeking Work	In Military or Other Service	Living Independ- ently	Receiving or Applied for Special Assistance	Missing
California	19,719	3,275	3,450	11,817	401	5,045	5,125	2,501
Alameda	687	170	165	111	7	283	71	0
Alpine	3	0	0	3	0	2	1	0
Amador	25	2	2	15	0	5	3	1
Butte	148	26	28	106	3	55	61	26
Calaveras	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A
Colusa	15	1	4	10	0	5	1	3
Contra Costa	261	0	52	445	2	N/A	70	N/A
Del Norte	45	43	6	28	3	9	24	43
El Dorado	97	6	17	57	2	22	30	19
Fresno	707	2	36	170	1		4	
Glenn	36	0	0	18	0	0	4	0
Humboldt	182	48	30	55	3	3	45	6
Imperial	35	15	13	58	1	0	14	57
Inyo	25	3	3	12	0	0	6	0
Kern	519	42	24	192	3	140	38	117
Kings	46	6	6	15	2	9	9	16
Lake	44	12	2	18	0	4	13	1
Lassen	99	7	5	51	4	10	7	19
Los Angeles	6,092	1,518	1,634	4,505	141	2,414	1,847	719
Madera	54	2	2	11	3	1	4	29
Marin	87	12	27	77	1	59	20	6
Mariposa	7	0	1	9	1	3	0	1
Mendocino	34	22	11	42	0	15	27	7
Merced	74	47	22	96	4	12	42	53
Modoc	14	4	2	19	1	1	3	0
Mono	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Monterey	21	7	9	15	2		1	23
Napa	49	22	2	52	0	20	21	61
Nevada	35	4	2	20	0	8	10	20
Orange	1,788	32	134	337	2	0	337	0
Placer	55	8	14	70	5	81	9	0
Plumas	14	19	3	13	0	5	4	4
Riverside	1,259	49	105	505	14	62	53	466
Sacramento	592	75	121	876	19	103	134	205
San Benito	22	1	2	19	0	4	2	17
San Bernardino	2,508	444	233	1,100	68	637	1,131	287
San Diego	1,178	100	229	647	41	161	291	16
San Francisco	590	48	94	151	3	144	92	24
San Joaquin	370	29	27	292	3	105	42	18
San Luis Obispo	163	22	46	200	6	107	65	0
San Mateo	83	7	9	37	2	3	45	4
Santa Barbara	22	30	46	33	7	16	17	7
Santa Clara	443	124	78	417	6	37	87	68
Santa Cruz	113	46	32	116	4	45	118	16
Shasta	92	7	6	46	1	11	34	41
Sierra	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	32	7	6	23	4	9	13	0
Solano	11	5	3	9	1	2	3	0
Sonoma	126	34	38	144	9	94	46	16
Stanislaus	100	9	15	92	7	26	64	19
Sutter	26	73	5	81	0	6	0	25
Tehama	49	3	5	31	2	18	10	0
Trinity	19	5	3	15	1	17	6	4
Tulare	400	67	65	213	10	229	62	21
Tuolumne	8	1	0	7	0	9	1	0
Ventura	40	4	19	91	2	11	60	3
Yolo	51	2	3	8	0	6	9	1
Yuba	119	3	4	31	0	17	14	12

N/A Not Available

Source: California Department of Social Services

Table 2.38
Juvenile Dependency Court Services by County
2003-2004

	Juvenile Dependency Mediation (JDM)	Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)
California	25	42
Alameda	•	•
Alpine		
Amador	•	•
Butte	•	•
Calveras		
Colusa		
Contra Costa	•	•
Del Norte		•
El Dorado	•	•
Fresno	•	•
Glenn		
Humboldt	•	•
Imperial		•
Inyo		
Kern	•	•
Kings		
Lake		
Lassen	•	•
Los Angeles	•	•
Madera		
Marin	•	•
Mariposa		•
Mendocino		•
Merced		•
Modoc		•
Mono		
Monterey		•
Napa	•	•
Nevada	•	•
Orange		•
Placer		•
Plumas		•
Riverside	•	•
Sacramento	•	•
San Benito		
San Bernardino	•	•
San Diego		•
San Francisco	•	•
San Joaquin		•
San Luis Obispo		•
San Mateo*	•	•
Santa Barbara		•
Santa Clara*	•	•
Santa Cruz	•	•
Shasta		
Sierra		
Siskiyou	•	•
Solano		•
Sonoma	•	•
Stanislaus		•
Sutter		
Tehama		
Trinity		
Tulare	•	•
Tuolumne		
Ventura	•	•
Yolo	•	•
Yuba		
Karuk Tribe of California		•

*One joint CASA program between Superior Courts of Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties.
 Sources: California CASA Association and California Administrative Office of the Courts

Table 2.39
Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel by Practice Type and County
September 2003

	Total	Public Agency	Solo Practitioner	Nonprofit	Private Law Firm
California	1,135	172	648	124	191
Alameda	68	8	60	0	0
Alpine	2	0	2	0	0
Amador	10	0	9	0	1
Butte	11	0	11	0	0
Calaveras	5	0	5	0	0
Colusa	7	3	4	0	0
Contra Costa	59	27	32	0	0
Del Norte	7	0	7	0	0
El Dorado	23	0	18	0	5
Fresno	25	13	8	0	4
Glenn	5	0	5	0	0
Humboldt	11	4	7	0	0
Imperial	13	2	6	0	5
Inyo	8	0	7	0	1
Kern	16	4	12	0	0
Kings	10	2	6	0	2
Lake	6	2	3	0	1
Lassen	7	0	7	0	0
Los Angeles	207	0	102	105	0
Madera	13	4	5	0	4
Marin	23	4	15	4	0
Mariposa	10	2	6	0	2
Mendocino	10	0	8	0	2
Merced	7	0	6	0	1
Modoc	6	0	5	0	1
Mono	4	3	1	0	0
Monterey	5	0	5	0	0
Napa	3	0	2	0	1
Nevada	9	1	8	0	0
Orange	40	11	8	0	21
Placer	12	0	12	0	0
Plumas	3	0	3	0	0
Riverside	28	0	28	0	0
Sacramento	39	0	8	15	16
San Benito	7	0	6	0	1
San Bernardino	28	3	10	0	15
San Diego	64	38	14	0	12
San Francisco	57	0	53	0	4
San Joaquin	18	3	15	0	0
San Luis Obispo	7	1	4	0	2
San Mateo	13	0	13	0	0
Santa Barbara	12	7	5	0	0
Santa Clara	30	11	0	0	19
Santa Cruz	56	0	1	0	55
Shasta	3	0	3	0	0
Sierra	4	0	4	0	0
Siskiyou	11	1	10	0	0
Solano	23	1	12	0	10
Sonoma	17	2	15	0	0
Stanislaus	14	3	9	0	2
Sutter	4	0	2	0	2
Tehama	5	0	5	0	0
Trinity	8	3	5	0	0
Tulare	7	0	7	0	0
Tuolumne	7	3	4	0	0
Ventura	9	0	7	0	2
Yolo	12	3	9	0	0
Yuba	7	3	4	0	0

Source: California Administrative Office of the Courts

Table 2.40
Attendance at Foster and Kinship Care Education Community College
Programs by Program Type, Fiscal Years 1998-1999 to 2000-2001

	1998	1999	2000
Total	11,304	18,196	23,142
Foster Parent	7,759	11,516	12,392
Kinship/Relative Caregivers	882	1,876	2,745
Social Services	798	1,261	1,805
Other	1,865	3,543	6,200

Source: California Community Colleges' Chancellor's Office

Table 2.41
Foster and Kinship Care Education Programs in Community Colleges by County
January 2003

	Programs
California	67
Alameda	3
Alpine	0
Amador	1
Butte	1
Calveras	1
Colusa	1
Contra Costa	3
Del Norte	1
El Dorado	2
Fresno	1
Glenn	1
Humboldt	1
Imperial	1
Inyo	0
Kern	2
Kings	1
Lake	1
Lassen	1
Los Angeles	17
Madera	1
Marin	1
Mariposa	1
Mendocino	1
Merced	1
Modoc	0
Mono	0
Monterey	1
Napa	1
Nevada	1
Orange	2
Placer	1
Plumas	0
Riverside	2
Sacramento	1
San Benito	1
San Bernardino	3
San Diego	1
San Francisco	1
San Joaquin	1
San Luis Obispo	1
San Mateo	1
Santa Barbara	2
Santa Clara	2
Santa Cruz	1
Shasta	1
Sierra	0
Siskiyou	1
Solano	1
Sonoma	1
Stanislaus	1
Sutter	1
Tehama	1
Trinity	1
Tulare	1
Tuolumne	1
Ventura	3
Yolo	1
Yuba	1

Source: California Community Colleges' Chancellor's Office
52 counties are served by 67 programs

Table 2.42**Office of the Ombudsman for Foster Care - Cases, Case Types, and Resolution
May 1, 2001-2003**

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Total Calls	1,296	8,623	8,903
Requests for Information	559	7,201	7,178
Complaints	550	716	1,059
Referrals	187	706	666
Response to Complaint			
Investigation	336	527	793
Consultation	203	164	219
Case Conferencing	11	25	47
Status of Complaint			
Closed	396	536	909
Active	154	180	150
Source of Complaint			
Youth	N/A	154	330
Care Providers	N/A	128	139
Grandparent	N/A	93	138
Parent	N/A	88	185
Other Relative	N/A	67	90
County Social Worker or Probation Officer	N/A	37	N/A
Local Professional	N/A	37	70
Group Home or Foster Family Agency	N/A	32	10
Court Attorney or CASA	N/A	28	5
Independent Living Staff	N/A	17	5
Other	N/A	35	87
Subject of Complaint			
Placement	N/A	206	323
Personal Rights Violation	N/A	153	233
Child Welfare Services/Probation Issues	N/A	99	197
Independent Living Program	N/A	82	79
Medical, Dental, or Mental Health	N/A	44	44
Abuse and Neglect in Placement	N/A	23	79
Immigration	N/A	15	5
Court, Attorney, or CASA issues	N/A	13	17
Education	N/A	10	14
Discrimination	N/A	N/A	10
Other	N/A	71	58

N/A Not Available

Source: California Office of the Ombudsman for Foster Care

Endnotes

Chapter 2: Juvenile Dependency

Table 2.1

**Child Abuse Referrals by Allegation and Year
1999-2003**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved January 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

A row is also included that counts children in referrals without allegations (missing/other), so that the total in each table counts all children listed in referrals. Beginning with 2003 Quarter 3 reports, counts of children with referrals are restricted to those with allegations.

Table 2.2

**Child Abuse Referrals by Race/Ethnicity and Year
1999-2003**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved January 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

A row is also included that counts children in referrals without allegations (missing/other), so that the total in each table counts all children listed in referrals. Beginning with 2003 Quarter 3 reports, counts of children with referrals are restricted to those with allegations.

Race/ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

Table 2.3

**Child Abuse Referrals by Age and Year
1999-2003**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved January 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

A row is also included that counts children in referrals without allegations (missing/other), so that the total in each table counts all children listed in referrals. Beginning with 2003 Quarter 3 reports, counts of children with referrals are restricted to those with allegations.

Table 2.4
Child Abuse Referrals by Sex and Year
1999-2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved January 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

A row is also included that counts children in referrals without allegations (missing/other), so that the total in each table counts all children listed in referrals. Beginning with 2003 Quarter 3 reports, counts of children with referrals are restricted to those with allegations.

Table 2.5
Foster Care Maltreatment Referrals, Substantiations, and Percent Substantiated by Race/Ethnicity and Age
2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved January 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Because of missing age values, referrals and substantiations will not sum to ethnic group or overall totals.

Percent of referrals substantiated was recalculated by CFCC staff.

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

Race/ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

Table 2.6
Foster Care Maltreatment Referrals, Substantiations, and Percent Substantiated by County
2001-2002

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved January 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Because of missing age values, referrals and substantiations subtotals will not sum to total.

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

County-specific tables count each child once per year in that county, so that a child with referrals in more than one county will appear in the tables for each county where a referral took place. Therefore, the sum of the children in each county table will add up to more than the total in the statewide tables.

Percent of referrals substantiated was recalculated by CFCC staff.

Table 2.7
Juvenile Dependency Filings and Dispositions
1994-2003

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved January 6, 2005, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999 and 2001-2003. Modoc County did not report for the years 2002 and 2003. Humboldt County did not report for year 2003. Fresno County did not report dispositions for the years 2001-2003.

Dispositions per 100 filings calculated by CFCC staff.

Table 2.8
Juvenile Dependency Filings, Dispositions, and Stage at Disposition by County Court
2003

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved January 6, 2005, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Stage at disposition refers to the whether the petition is disposed before the start of a jurisdictional hearing in which first evidence is presented to the court for a determination of whether there is sufficient evidence to sustain the allegations in the petition. First evidence is when one or more parties or counsel appear and oral arguments, presentations relevant to the proceedings, witness testimony, and/or documents or tangible objects are submitted to the court.

Trinity Humboldt, and Modoc Counties did not report for the year 2003. Humboldt County did not report dispositions.

Table 2.9
Original Dependency Filings by County Court
1994-2003

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved January 6, 2005, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999 and 2001-2003. Modoc County did not report for the years 2002 and 2003. Humboldt County did not report for year 2003. Fresno County did not report dispositions for the years 2001-2003.

Table 2.10
Original Dependency Dispositions by County Court
1994-2003

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved January 6, 2005, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999 and 2001-2003. Modoc County did not report for the years 2002 and 2003. Humboldt County did not report for year 2003. Fresno County did not report dispositions for the years 2001-2003.

Table 2.11
Subsequent Dependency Filings by County Court
1994-2003

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved January 6, 2005, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999, and 2001-2003. Modoc County did not report for the years 2002 and 2003. Humboldt County did not report for year 2003. Fresno County did not report dispositions for the years 2001-2003.

Table 2.12
Subsequent Dependency Dispositions by County Court
1994-2003

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved January 6, 2005, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999 and 2001-2003. Modoc County did not report for the years 2002 and 2003. Humboldt County did not report for the year 2003. Fresno County did not report dispositions for the years 2001-2003.

Table 2.13
First Entries, Reentries, Exits From Care, and Net Change in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care Population 1998-2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

A child can have only one "first entry" to care, but can exit and reenter care numerous times in a given year. "Net change" therefore estimates the overall increase or decrease in the child welfare supervised foster care population for each calendar year.

Net Change recalculated by CFCC staff.

Table 2.14
First Entries to Foster Care by Removal Reason and Entry Year Children in Care Five Days or More, 1993-2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Neglect includes CWS/CMS categories Severe Neglect, General Neglect, and Caretaker Absence/Incapacity.

Other includes CWS/CMS categories Child's Disability or Handicap, Disrupted Adoptive Placement, Emotional Abuse, Exploitation, Law Violation, Relinquishment, Status Offense, and Voluntary Placement.

Table 2.15
First Entries to Foster Care by First Placement Type and Entry Year Children in Care Five Days or More, 1993-2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Table 2.16
Median First-Spell Length of Stay in Days by Major Placement Type Children in Care Five Days or More, 1999-2001 Entries Combined

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved

November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Medians computed with the Kaplan-Meier method.

Mixed placement represents multiple placements where no one type predominated; otherwise, children are characterized by the placement type that accounts for 50 percent or more of their placement time.

Children with a predominant facility type of Guardian or Missing were excluded from these analyses.

This report counts all children as being in care until the episode is closed in CWS/CMS. Therefore, the length of stay may be exaggerated, depending on the quality of county data.

The length of stay for children in Los Angeles County with a major facility type of "Shelter" appears to be extremely inflated because of a large number of children incorrectly coded with this facility type. Los Angeles staff has been informed of this issue.

Table 2.17
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type
January 1, 2000-2004

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved February 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Children with an open out-of-home placement record on the study date are categorized according to the placement type corresponding with that point in time, including: Kinship, Foster Family (Non-Relative), Foster Family (Non-Relative; Agency), Court Specified Home, Group, Shelter, Guardian, or Transitional Housing.

Children with an open placement episode, but not an open out-of-home placement record, are coded as being in Non-Foster Care if they have an open placement in that table on the study date, and on a Trial Home Visit or as a Runaway if indicated by the placement change reason of the last placement.

Children who appear to have a signed adoption agreement are removed from all other categories and are coded as being in Preadoptive Foster Care.

Children with an open placement episode, no open out-of-home placement, and no reliable data regarding why, are coded as Other.

Because of small sample sizes, the number of children counted in the Trial Home Visit and Other placement types may be inflated.

Table 2.18
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type and Time
In Current Placement Episode, January 1, 2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved February 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Children with an open out-of-home placement record on the study date are categorized according to the placement type corresponding with that point in time, including: Kinship, Foster Family (Non-Relative), Foster Family (Non-Relative; Agency), Court Specified Home, Group, Shelter, Guardian, or Transitional Housing.

Children with an open placement episode, but not an open out-of-home placement record, are coded as being in Non-Foster Care if they have an open placement in that table on the study date, and on a Trial Home Visit or as a Runaway if indicated by the placement change reason of the last placement.

Children who appear to have a signed adoption agreement are removed from all other categories and are coded as being in Preadoptive Foster Care.

Children with an open placement episode, no open out-of-home placement, and no reliable data regarding why, are coded as Other.

Because of small sample sizes, the number of children counted in the Trial Home Visit and Other placement types may be inflated.

Table 2.19
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type and Time
In Current Placement Episode, January 1, 2004

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved February 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Children with an open out-of-home placement record on the study date are categorized according to the placement type corresponding with that point in time, including: Kinship, Foster Family (Non-Relative), Foster Family (Non-Relative; Agency), Court Specified Home, Group, Shelter, Guardian, or Transitional Housing.

Children with an open placement episode, but not an open out-of-home placement record, are coded as being in Non-Foster Care if they have an open placement in that table on the study date, and on a Trial Home Visit or as a Runaway if indicated by the placement change reason of the last placement.

Children who appear to have a signed adoption agreement are removed from all other categories and are coded as being in Preadoptive Foster Care.

Children with an open placement episode, no open out-of-home placement, and no reliable data regarding why, are coded as Other.

Because of small sample sizes, the number of children counted in the Trial Home Visit and Other placement types may be inflated.

Table 2.20
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Supervising County and In-County
Placement
January 1, 2001-2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved February 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

These tables are based on data about children who were placed in Kinship, Foster, Foster Family Agencies (FFA's), or Group Homes on July 1 of, 2001, 2002, and 2003. Supervising County refers to the county responsible for the child's case. Placement County refers to the county where the placement facility is physically located.

Table 2.21
Caseload by Service Component Type and Year
January 1, 2000-2004

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved February 14, 2005, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Probation and Kin-GAP cases in Los Angeles County are excluded.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001.

Table 2.22
Exits at 3, 6, 12, 15, 18, and 24 Months by Placement Type
Children in Care Five Days or More, 2001 First Entries to Care

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Only one exit per year per youth was recorded; cases with multiple exits count the most recent exit.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001. Thus, an unknown proportion of exits reported prior to 2001 in the Kin section may be reported as exiting via Other Guardianship.

Guardian and Missing placement types have been excluded from analysis.

As the quality of data entry may have improved over time, caution should be used in comparing across entry cohorts.

All children are counted as being in care until the episode is closed in CWS/CMS. Therefore, the proportion of children still in care may be overreported, and exits underreported, depending on the quality of county data.

Table 2.23
Outcomes per Year for Children Exiting by Kin/Non-Kin and Placement Type
1998-2003

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Only one exit per year per youth was recorded; cases with multiple exits count the most recent exit.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001. Thus, an unknown proportion of exits reported prior to 2001 in the Kin section may be reported as exiting via Other Guardianship.

Children with placement types such as child ran away from placement, other non-CWS agency has jurisdiction, and incarcerated are coded as Other.

Unclear exit type refers to termination reason types coded in CWS/CMS as *Other. This code is not currently valid, and appears to have been used for episodes closed during conversion to the CWS/CMS system with an unclear reason for exit.

Table 2.24
Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Race/Ethnicity
First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Reentries were tracked for children who entered welfare-supervised foster care for the first time, were in care at least 5 days, and then were reunified within 12 or 24 months.

Race/ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

Table 2.25
Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Age at Entry
First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Reentries were tracked for children who entered welfare-supervised foster care for the first time, were in care at least 5 days, and then were reunified within 12 or 24 months.

Table 2.26
Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Sex
First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Reentries were tracked for children who entered welfare-supervised foster care for the first time, were in care at least 5 days, and then were reunified within 12 or 24 months.

Table 2.27
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect Over Time by Race/Ethnicity
First Substantiated Reports, 2001 Base Period

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Allegation types of At Risk, Sibling Abused, or Substantial Risk are not included.

Only one substantiated referral per child is used. The selection process used in determining which substantiated referral to use produces a referral base count that is not necessarily equal to the referral counts. This happens because this report examines a referral series by collapsing primary and secondary referrals, while the referral counts look at all referrals as separate observations.

County-specific tables count each child once per year in that county, so that a child with referrals in more than one county will appear in the tables for each county where a referral took place. Therefore, the sum of the children in each county table will add up to more than the total in the statewide tables.

Race/ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

Table 2.28
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect Over Time by Age
First Substantiated Reports, 2001 Base Period

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Allegation types of At Risk, Sibling Abused, or Substantial Risk are not included.

Only one substantiated referral per child is used. The selection process used in determining which substantiated referral to use produces a referral base count that is not necessarily equal to the referral counts. This happens because this report examines a referral series by collapsing primary and secondary referrals, while the referral counts look at all referrals as separate observations.

County-specific tables count each child once per year in that county, so that a child with referrals in more than one county will appear in the tables for each county where a referral took place. Therefore, the sum of the children in each county table will add up to more than the total in the statewide tables.

Table 2.29
Recurrence of Abuse/Neglect Over Time by Sex
First Substantiated Reports, 2001 Base Period

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2004). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved November 10, 2004, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Allegation types of At Risk, Sibling Abused, or Substantial Risk are not included.

Only one substantiated referral per child is used. The selection process used in determining which substantiated referral to use produces a referral base count that is not necessarily equal to the referral counts. This happens because this report examines a referral series by collapsing primary and secondary referrals, while the referral counts look at all referrals as separate observations.

County-specific tables count each child once per year in that county, so that a child with referrals in more than one county will appear in the tables for each county where a referral took place. Therefore, the sum of the children in each county table will add up to more than the total in the statewide tables.

Table 2.30
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Race/Ethnicity
July 2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on January 7, 2005, from the July 2003 ABCD 350-Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul03.xls>

Los Angeles County has 42 unspecified cases that were included in the total for that county and the overall total.

The Asian/Pacific Islander column includes those of Filipino, Chinese, Cambodian, Samoan, Asian Indian, Laotian, Vietnamese, Japanese, Korean, Hawaiian, Guamanian, and other Asian or Pacific Islander ethnic origins.

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

Table 2.31
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Asian/Pacific Islander Ethnicity
July 2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on January 7, 2005, from the July 2003 ABCD 350-Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul03.xls>

The Other Asian or Pacific Islander column includes those of Japanese (37 recipients), Korean (75 recipients), Hawaiian (40 recipients), Guamanian (29 recipients), and the original Other Asian or Pacific Islander category supplied by the California Department of Social Services (352 recipients not delineated by ethnicity).

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

Table 2.32
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Primary Language Spoken
July 2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on January 7, 2005, from the July 2003 ABCD 350-Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul03.xls>

According to the California Department of Social Services, the data in the Other Non-English Languages column varies by county, with many simply unknown. Languages listed and numbers are not delineated by type of social services recipient:

Alameda: Hindi, Punjabi, Amharic, Dinka, Somali, Tamil, Romanian, and Hawaiian.
 Contra Costa: Hindi, Punjabi, Somali, and Amharic.
 Fresno: Middle Eastern Indian.
 Glenn, Sutter, and Yuba Counties: Punjabi.
 Kern: Lyjarati and Hindu.
 Kings: Punjabi and Afghan Persian (Dari).
 Los Angeles: Amharic, Czech, Gujarati, Hindi, Hungarian, Indonesian, not specified, Punjabi, Rumanian, Serbian, Tigrinya, Yiddish, Yugoslavian, Dutch, German, Greek, Lingala, Lituianian, Malayo-Polynesian, Navajo, Romany (Gypsy), and Ukrainian. In Foster Care there are 76 "Other Not Specified."
 Marin, Monterey, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, Sonoma, Tulare, and Yolo Counties: Unknown
 Mendocino: Finnish and Hindu.
 Merced: Hindi.
 Napa: Hindi, Bengali, Punjabi, Urdu, and Hindu.
 Orange: Romanian and Farsi.
 Placer: Romanian.
 Riverside: Bosnian, Croatian, Egyptian, German, Hawaiian, Hindi, Lebanese, Palaun, Pashto, Persian, Punjabi, Romanian, Tausog, Urdu, Visayan, Yugoslavian, and Unknown.
 San Mateo: 5 Amharic, 3 Burmese, 1 Dinka, 54 Hindi, 36 Punjabi, 3 Sudanese, 2 Somali, and 3 Urdu.
 Santa Barbara: Mixteco.
 Santa Clara: Romanian and Hawaiian.
 Stanislaus: 259 Assyrian, 8 Afghanistan, 8 Persian, 15 Hindi, 1 Romanian, 19 Punjabi, 2 Fiji, 1 Tonga, 2 Ukranian, and 1 Urdu.
 Ventura: Albanian, Croation, Baao Filipino, Indonesian, 28 Not Identifiable, and Yugoslavian.

The Other Non-English Languages column also includes those of Armenian (13 recipients), Turkish (6 recipients), Hebrew (2 recipients), French (0 recipients), Polish (0 recipients), Russian (24 recipients), Portuguese (2 recipients), Italian (0 recipients), Arabic (7 recipients), Farsi (54 recipients), and the original Other Non-English Languages category supplied by the California Department of Social Services (62 recipients not delineated by language).

For those language categories that were known, the decision to subgroup the language categories provided by the California Department of Social Services was made by CFCC staff and is based on the categorization into Indo-European, Asian and Pacific Islander, and other language groups in the U.S. Census categories of languages, which can be found on the American FactFinder Web site, URL: http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/QTTable?ds_name=D&geo_id=D&q_r_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U_QTP16&lang=en.

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

The Total Asian/Pacific Islander Languages column includes Cantonese, Korean, Tagalog, Mandarin, Cambodian, Hmong, Lao, Vietnamese, Japanese, Ilocana, Mein, other Chinese languages, Samoan, and Thai.

Table 2.33
Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Primary Asian/Pacific Islander Language Spoken July 2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on January 7, 2005, from the July 2003 ABCD 350-Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul03.xls>

For those language categories that were known, the decision to subgroup the language categories provided by the California Department of Social Services was made by CFCC staff and is based on the categorization into the Asian and Pacific Islander language group in the U.S. Census categories of languages, which can be found on the American FactFinder Web site, URL: http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/QTTable?ds_name=D&geo_id=D&qr_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U_QTP16&lang=en.

Other Asian Languages include Japanese (5 recipients), Ilocana (1 recipient), Mein (15 recipients), other Chinese languages (2 recipients), Samoan (6 recipients), and Thai (1 recipient).

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

Table 2.34
Foster Care Independent Living Program Characteristics and Outcomes by Year October 1-September 30, 2000-2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A-Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report(s) 2003, 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on October 29, 2004. URL: http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm

- 2000: Orange County collected data for the following ILP categories only:
Youths offered services during the year, and, for those received services, youths in the probation department or the county welfare department who received transitional housing placement services, and those for whom no information could be obtained.
- 2001: Orange County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who are single, married, or parents (sum of fathers and mothers), with special needs (educational, mental, or physical), no longer in foster care, who received ILP services during the six-month period following exit from foster care, continuing to receive ILP services, who completed high school/GED or adult education, continuing or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education, have completed vocational or on-the-job training, continuing or currently enrolled in vocational education or on-the-job training, enrolled in college, in a community college, or in a four-year university, who obtained employment, who obtained full-time employment, who obtained part-time employment, enlisted in military, Job Corps, or California Conservation Corps, actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs,

who obtained subsidized housing, who transitioned into other government assisted services, who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services, or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.

- 2002 and 2003: Calaveras County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who received ILP services and have special needs (educational, mental, or physical), are continuing or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education, who have completed vocational or on-the-job training, are continuing or currently enrolled in vocational education or on-the-job training, in a four-year university, who obtained employment, who obtained full-time employment, who obtained part-time employment, are actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who obtained subsidized housing, or those for whom no safe and affordable housing was available or for whom no information was obtained.
- 2002: Fresno County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services: Youths who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.
- 2002: Madera County was unable to provide data for youths who transitioned into other government-assisted services and does not provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by the county.
- 2003: Contra Costa County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who received ILP services and were living independently, or those for whom no safe and affordable housing was available or for whom no information was obtained.
- 2003: Fresno County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who received ILP services and have special needs (educational, mental, or physical), who were living independently, who received other government services, or those for whom no safe and affordable housing was available or for whom no information was obtained.
- 2003: Merced County was unable to provide data for youths who received ILP services and have special needs (educational, mental, or physical), and does not provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by the county.
- 2003: Monterey County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who received ILP services and are actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who received other government services, or those for whom no safe and affordable housing was available.
- 2003: San Luis Obispo and Stanislaus Counties were unable to provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by those counties.
- 2003: Yolo County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services: Youths for whom no safe and affordable housing was available.

Table 2.35
Foster Care Independent Living Program Youths Served by County
October 1, 2002-September 30, 2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A-Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report(s) 2003, 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on October 29, 2004. URL:
http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm

Table 2.36
Foster Care Independent Living Program Client Characteristics by County
October 1, 2002-September 30, 2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A-Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report(s) 2003, 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on October 29, 2004. URL:
http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm

Calaveras, Fresno, and Merced Counties were unable to provide data for youths who received ILP services from October 1, 2002, through September 30, 2003, and have special needs (educational, mental, or physical).

Table 2.37
Foster Care Independent Living Program Client Progress by County
October 1, 2002-September 30, 2003

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A-Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report(s) 2003, 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on October 29, 2004. URL:
http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm

- 2003: Calaveras County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who are continuing or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education, who have completed vocational or on-the-job training, are continuing or currently enrolled in vocational education or on-the-job training, in a four-year university, who obtained employment, who obtained full-time employment, who obtained part-time employment, are actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who obtained subsidized housing, who transitioned into other government-assisted services, or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.
- 2003: Contra Costa County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who received ILP services and were living independently, or those for whom no safe and affordable housing was available or for whom no information was obtained.
- 2003: Fresno County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who received ILP services and were living independently, who received other government services, or those for whom no safe and affordable housing was available or for whom no information was obtained.

- 2003: Merced County does not provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by the county.
- 2003: Monterey County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:
Youths who received ILP services and are actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who received other government services, or those for whom no safe and affordable housing was available.
- 2003: San Luis Obispo and Stanislaus Counties were unable to provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by those counties.
- 2003: Yolo County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services: Youths for whom no safe and affordable housing was available.

Table 2.38
Juvenile Dependency Court Services by County
2003-2004

California CASA Association (Court Appointed Special Advocates). Retrieved November 15, 2004, from California CASA Association Web site, URL: <http://www.californiacasa.org/>; and California Administrative Office of the Courts, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, *Research Update: Court-Based Juvenile Dependency Mediation in California* (March 2003; unpublished update of number of programs by county, July 2003).

Karuk Tribe of California-Tribal Court CASA is located in Yreka, (Siskiyou County) and was added in 2004 to California's CASA programs.

Table 2.39
Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel by Practice Type and County
September 2003

California Administrative Office of the Courts; administrative data maintained by the Center for Families, Children & the Courts. Valid as of September 1, 2003.

These figures do not include court-appointed dependency counsel who work on appellate dependency cases and do not work on dependency cases in the trial courts.

Table 2.40
Attendance at Foster and Kinship Care Education Community College
Programs by Program Type, Fiscal Years 1998-1999 to 2000-2001

California Community Colleges' Chancellor's Office (CCCCO). *Foster and Kinship Care Education Program, 2001-2002: Annual Report*. Retrieved July 25, 2003, from the CCCCCO Web site, URL: http://www.cccco.edu/divisions/ss/fostercare/attachments/annual_rpt11_14_01.doc

Attendance figures are unduplicated participant counts.

Table 2.41
Foster and Kinship Care Education Programs in Community Colleges by County
January 2003

California Community Colleges' Chancellor's Office (CCCCO). *Foster and Kinship Care Education Program, 2001-2002: Annual Report*. Retrieved July 25, 2003, from the CCCCCO Web site, URL:
http://www.cccco.edu/divisions/ss/fostercare/attachments/annual_rpt11_14_01.doc

Table 2.42
Office of the Ombudsman for Foster Care-Cases, Case Types, and Resolution
May 1, 2001-2003

State of California, Office of the Ombudsman for Foster Care. *Annual Report, May 2001-May 2002 and Update Report and May 2002-2003*. Retrieved October 29, 2004, from the Web site, URL:
<http://www.fosteryouthhelp.ca.gov/>